## Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/593,695	NAKASHIMA, YASUHIKO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
IDRISS N. ALROBAYE	2183		

	I IBT NOOT IN THE TOURT IT E	2100			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) <u>IDRISS N. ALROBAYE</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>WILLIAM G. NIESSEN</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 06 September 2011.					
Type:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant	applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	⊠ No.				
Issues Discussed 1101 1112 1102 1103 10th (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detail					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>n/a</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .					
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)					
The examiner spoke with Mr. Niessen and indicated that to correct the abstract. See attachment for the replacement					
statement of the substance of the interview.					
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to p	provide a separate record of the substa	ance of interview.			
Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MFEP-7130-N for complete and proper recordation in whicing the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general induction of any other periment matters discussed regarding patentiality and the general thrust of our outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.					
/IDRISS N ALROBAYE/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2183					